Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	**Approaching**	43.8%	(11 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	**Meets**	79.2%	(39.6 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	**Meets**	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Test Participation**	**95% Participation Rate Met**		
TOTAL		**69.4%**	**(69.4 out of 100 points)**

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

cde — Improving Academic Achievement

SCHOOL**view**.org

*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10
Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

1

Academic Achievement	*Points Earned*	*Points Eligible*	*% Points*	*Rating*	*N*	*% Proficient/Advanced*	*School's Percentile*
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	201	44.8%	11
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	201	46.8%	12
Writing	2	4		Approaching	201	34.3%	18
Science	2	4		Approaching	103	36.9%	35
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching			

Academic Growth	*Points Earned*	*Points Eligible*	*% Points*	*Rating*	*N*	*Median Growth Percentile*	*Median Adequate Growth Percentile*	*Made Adequate Growth?*
Reading	3	4		Meets	187	56	53	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	188	52	69	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	188	63	74	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	*Points Earned*	*Points Eligible*	*% Points*	*Rating*	*Subgroup N*	*Subgroup Median Growth Percentile*	*Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile*	*Made Adequate Growth?*
Reading	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	167	57	53	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	185	56	53	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	160	56	54	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	113	57	67	No
Mathematics	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	168	51	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	186	52	69	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	161	54	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	89	52	86	No
Writing	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	168	63	76	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	186	62	74	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	161	64	76	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	135	63	81	No
Total	32	48	66.7%	Meets				

Test Participation	*% of Students Tested*	*Rating*	*Students Tested*	*Total Students*
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	202	202
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	202	202
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	202	202
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	103	103

Counts are not reported when they do not meet minimum N requirements for a metric.

School: KIPP SUNSHINE PEAK ACADEMY — **(1 Year***)**

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4		Approaching	155	54.8%	18
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	155	38.7%	25
Writing	2	4		Approaching	155	47.7%	28
Science	2	4		Approaching	70	45.7%	46
Total	**8**	**16**	**50.0%**	**Approaching**			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	150	63	51	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	150	71	82	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	150	69	75	No
Total	**11**	**12**	**91.7%**	**Exceeds**				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	140	62	52	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	148	63	51	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	108	64	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	79	64	67	No
Mathematics	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	140	69	81	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	148	69	82	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	108	66	81	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	79	64	97	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	140	69	75	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	148	69	75	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	108	70	76	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	79	69	88	No
Total	**40**	**48**	**83.3%**	**Meets**				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	155	155
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	155	155
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	155	155
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	70	70

Counts are not reported when they do not meet minimum N requirements for a metric.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4		
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3		
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching	2		
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Meet	1		
Academic Growth	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			12 (4 for each content area)	50
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2		
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
Academic Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			60 (5 for each subgroup group in 3 content areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2		
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		

Cut-Points for each performance indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned … of the points eligible on this Indicator.	
Achievement; Growth; Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for plan type assignment

	Cut Point: The school earned … of the total Framework points eligible.	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School plan type assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

**Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)**

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Did my school meet adequate growth?		
YES, met adequate growth		NO, did not meet adequate growth

YES, met adequate growth		NO, did not meet adequate growth	
Exceeds	60-99	Exceeds	70-99
Meets	45-59	Meets	55-69
Approaching	30-44	Approaching	40-54
Does not meet	1-29	Does not meet	1-39

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be consideredwithin the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.